

## Anti-Vel - Not detectable does not mean not harmful

Young-Lan Song<sup>1,4</sup>, Monica Braisch<sup>2</sup>, Robin Kosche<sup>1</sup>, Beat M. Frey<sup>1</sup>, Larissa Leuenberger<sup>1</sup>, Naemi Christinger<sup>1</sup>, Stefan Meyer<sup>3</sup>, Charlotte Engström<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Immunohematology, Blood Transfusion Service Zurich, Swiss Red Cross, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> Blood Transfusion Service St. Gallen, Swiss Red Cross, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup> Department of Molecular Diagnostics and Cytometry, Blood Transfusion Service Zurich, Swiss Red Cross, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Clinic of Medical Oncology and Hematology, Municipal Hospital Zurich Triemli, Zurich

**Introduction:** Vel (034/VEL) is a high-frequency antigen. Anti-Vel antibodies may cause severe hemolytic transfusion reactions and hemolytic disease of the newborn. Detection and confirmation of anti-Vel antibodies is challenging due to the lack of Vel negative cells in routine panels, also essential to exclude the presence of other clinically relevant antibodies.

We report a case of an 89-old female patient who suffered from perioperative blood loss. Based on a negative antibody-screening test she received two Vel positive red blood cell concentrates first uneventfully, but subsequently developed a delayed hemolytic transfusion reaction. Ultimately, it turned out that an anti-Vel was already diagnosed in 1998, which was now boosted and caused a delayed hemolysis.

**Methods:** Direct (DAT) and indirect antiglobulin test (IAT) (ID-system and tube technique, BioRad/Grifols, CH, in-house and SCARF) were applied on samples obtained before and after the hemolytic reaction. Compatibility testing was performed using IAT at 37°C (gel-card and tube test, BioRad, CH). Molecular typing of the patient's blood group antigens including rare antigens was performed by PCR-SSP (innotrains, D). We also conducted a homologous adsorption with donor test cells with patient-matched (but Vel+) profile to exclude or reveal additional alloantibodies. Clinical and laboratory markers for hemolysis were monitored by standard examinations.

**Results:** Hemoglobin (hb) value at admission (day -1) was 132 g/l and dropped to 58 g/l after surgery (day 2). After transfusion of two Vel positive blood units hb increased to 89 g/l (day 4) and dropped again to 74 g/l (day 6) showing elevated bilirubin, LDH and decreased haptoglobin.

Antibody screen and compatibility testing as well as DAT before transfusion were negative. Antibody identification 9 days after transfusion revealed an anti-Vel reactive in IAT and with papain-treated test cells. After adsorption, two additional antibodies were detected- anti-Jk<sup>a</sup> and anti-M, both reactive in IAT. DAT was positive for IgG, eluate remained negative. The patient's predicted phenotype was A, R1R1, K-k+, Kp(a-b+), Fy(a+b+), Jk(a-b+), M-N+, Lu(a-b+), Vel-.

With the onset of hemolysis, three Vel negative red blood cell units were ordered and could be provided in a timely manner thanks to the collaboration with the Swiss National Rare Donor File. Two of the three performed cross matches turned out positive, because of Jk<sup>a</sup> positivity.

**Conclusion:** Physicians and patients need to be aware about the importance of correct information of alloantibodies even if the detection dates back decades and they are below the detection limit.

Thus, this case raises the question of the necessity of a national database for transfusion-relevant blood group antibodies, ensuring the best possible transfusion medical care of the patient. Fortunately, in our case, hemolysis was stabilized without further need for transfusions.